

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,245	01/15/2002	Sarvajit Thakur	INS-101	9222
7590 12/22/2004			EXAMINER	
Ray K. Shahani, Esq. ATTORNEY AT LAW			BORISSOV, IGOR N	
Twin Oaks Office Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
477 Ninth Avenue, Suite 112			3629	
San Mateo, CA 94402-1854			DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/050,245	THAKUR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this control of the	Igor Borissov	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>03</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Se	ptember 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
_1)Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/050,245

Art Unit: 3629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

Amendment received on 9/30/2004 is acknowledged and entered. Claims 1, 2 and 4-7 have been amended. New claim 9 has been added. Claims 1-9 are currently pending in the application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 8 refers to claim 8, which is confusing.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meltzer et al. (US 6,366,925).

Meltzer et al. (hereinafter Meltzer) teaches a method and system for conducting legal services, comprising:

Claims 1 and 9. Electronically accessing immigration/naturalization application center over a computer network (C. 5, L. 30-40); entering preliminary screening and qualifying data

Application/Control Number: 10/050,245

Art Unit: 3629

via a graphical user interface (C. 6, L. 24-37); electronically determining eligibility of the user for various INS procedures related to immigration and naturalization based on preliminary screening and qualifying data (C. 8, L. 48-53); automatically matching the responses to a questionnaire and applicable questions on the INS forms identified (C. 12, L. 38-40, 59-64); and electronically sending the completed forms to the particular agency (C. 12, L. 39-55).

Claim 3. Making electronic payments for required fees (C. 14, L. 8-9).

**Claims 4-5**. Accessing the website over the Internet (C. 4, L. 62 – C. 5, L. 2; L. 39-40).

Claim 6. Electronically providing instructions to be undertaken by the client (C. 7, L. 15).

Claim 7. Determining eligibility of the user by comparing qualifying data to INS requirements (C. 8, L. 34-36).

**Claim 8**. Creating an account (log) containing qualifying data particular to the user (C. 9, L. 39-60).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meltzer.

**Claim 2.** Meltzer teaches all the limitations of claim 2, expect specifically teaching *printing* the completed forms.

Official notice is taken that printing from the Internet is well known.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Meltzer to include printing the completed forms from the website, because it would advantageously provide user with a hard copy of-the-filled-forms-if-the-electronic-system-fails.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,245

Art Unit: 3629

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/30 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant argument that the prior art does not teach an automated Interactive system which allows a user to access a government application and servicing center on-line, wherein once a user enters preliminary screening and qualifying data, the system electronically determines the eligibility of the user and automatically selects the various government forms which will be required to be filled out, it is noted that Meltzer teaches a method and system for electronically accessing immigration/naturalization application center over a computer network (C. 5, L. 30-40); entering preliminary screening and qualifying data via a graphical user interface (C. 6, L. 24-37); electronically determining eligibility of the user for various INS procedures related to immigration and naturalization based on preliminary screening and qualifying data (C. 8, L. 48-53); automatically matching the responses to a questionnaire and applicable questions on the INS forms identified (C. 12, L. 38-40, 59-64); and electronically sending the completed forms to the particular agency (C. 12, L. 39-55).

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension-fee-pursuant-to-37\_CER\_1.136(a)-will-be-calculated-from-the-mailing-date-of—

Art Unit: 3629

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Igor Borissov at telephone number (703) 305-4649.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9306.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached at (703) 308-2702.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

# Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 [Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

IB

12/13/2004

JOHN G. WEISS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600